

Vehicle Torque Vectoring Control

ECE 1635 April 6, 2015

Christopher Au

Moeed Siddiqui

Yujie Guo





Background

- Two types of undesirable vehicle steering dynamics
 - Understeer
 - Oversteer
- TV Advantages:
 - Improved handling
 - Traction when turning
 - Better overall performance in poor road conditions





Plant Model

$$\begin{cases} m(\dot{v_x} - rv_y) = \sum F_x \\ mv_x(\dot{\beta} + r) = \sum F_y \\ I_{zz}\dot{r} = \sum M_z + M_{z_correction} \end{cases}$$

$$T_{rear,left} = \frac{T_{in}}{2} + T_{v}, \ T_{rear,right} = \frac{T_{in}}{2} - T_{v}$$



Plant: Mathematical Models

Reference Model:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\beta_d} \\ \dot{r_d} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{\tau_r} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_d \\ r_d \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{k_r}{\tau_r} \end{bmatrix} \delta_{f^{*'}}$$

$$where \ \tau_r = \frac{I_{ZZ} v_x}{2C_f l_f (l_r + l_f) + m l_r v_x^2} \text{ and } k_r = \frac{v_x}{l_f + \frac{m l_f l_r v_x^2}{2C_f l_f (l_f + l_r)}}$$

Vehicle Motion Model:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\beta} \\ \dot{r} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\left(C_f + C_r\right)}{mv_x} & \frac{\left(l_r C_r - l_f C_f\right)}{mv_x^2} - 1 \\ \frac{l_r C_r - l_f C_f}{I_z} & \frac{-\left(l_f^2 C_f + l_r^2 C_r\right)}{I_z v_x} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta \\ r \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{C_f}{mv_x} \\ \frac{l_f C_f}{I_z} \end{bmatrix} \delta_f + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{t_r}{R_w I_{zz}} \end{bmatrix} U(t)$$

Plant: Simulation Parameters

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Unit
Vehicle mass	m	1562	kg
Distance from CG to front axle	l_f	1.104	m
Distance from CG to rear axle	l_r	1.421	m
Vehicle yaw moment of inertia	I_z	2,630	kgm ²
Vehicle track Width	t	2.005	m
Dynamic radius of wheel	R_w	0.395	m
Front axle cornering stiffness	C_{f}	42,000	N/rad
Rear axle cornering stiffness	C_r	64,000	N/rad
Vehicle velocity	Vx	80	Km/hr

Controller - State Feedback

Full State Feedback Controller With Integral Action:

- Controllable system
- Pole placement using Matlab

Controllability Matrix:

Controllability Matrix = $[B \ AB \ A^2B]$

Control Law:

$$\mathbf{u} = -K_{1,2}x - K_3z$$

Closed Loop System:

$$\dot{x}_{a} = \begin{bmatrix} A - B * K1 & -B * K2 \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix} * x_{a} + \begin{bmatrix} B_{cl2} \end{bmatrix} * (r)$$
Where the vector $B_{cl2} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{f1} \\ b_{f2} \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$, $K1 = \begin{bmatrix} K_{1} & K_{2} \end{bmatrix}$, $K2 = \begin{bmatrix} K_{3} \end{bmatrix}$

 $y = \begin{bmatrix} C & 0 \end{bmatrix} * (x_a)$

Block Diagram for Full State Feedback Controller /with Integral Action

open-loop plant

Controller - State Feedback

Tuning Full State Feedback Controller With Integral Action:

Tuning Parameters:

Close Loop Poles = [-16, -15, -1]Gain Matrix K = [79.18, 220.91, 1736.53]



Step Response:



Close Loop Bode Diagram:



Controller - Sliding Mode

Sliding Mode Controller

- Discontinuous control signal
- Adds robustness to the closed-loop system



Controller - Sliding Mode

Consider the Lyapunov candidate function:



Simulation - HIL Setup

• HIL DEMO



Steering Input



Vehicle Yaw Rate

Simulation - HIL Problems

- To resolve controller instability when using HIL:
 - Increased sampling period in Labview
 - Eliminated dead zone when motor changes direction
 - Added scaling to PD controller to replicate gearing
 - More aggressive LPF



Simulation Results - State Feedback

- State Feedback Controller Performance
 - approximate 0 steady state error
 - 1 sec delay during transients
 - maximum torque range -400N/m to +400N/m



Simulation Results - Sliding Mode Control

- 0% ss error
- 0.5 second delay



Controller Comparison



Controller Simulation Video



3DOF Bicycle Model

$$v_{xt} = \frac{22.22m}{s}$$
 and $v_{yt} = v_{xt} \tan \beta$

Distance and Angle Matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_t \\ y_t \\ \theta_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{t-1} + (-v_{yt}\sin\theta_{t-1} + v_{xt}\cos\theta_{t-1})dt \\ y_{t-1} + (v_{yt}\cos\theta_{t-1} + v_{xt}\sin\theta_{t-1})dt \\ \theta_{t-1} + r_t dt \end{bmatrix}^{\omega}$$

Velocity Matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_t \\ \dot{y}_t \\ \dot{\theta}_t \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -v_{yt} \sin \theta_{t-1} + v_{xt} \cos \theta_{t-1} \\ v_{yt} \cos \theta_{t-1} + v_{xt} \sin \theta_{t-1} \\ r_t \end{bmatrix}^{\circ}$$

Conclusion

- Two controllers were design to implement torque vectoring
 - State feedback based on an augmented plant
 - Nonlinear sliding mode controller
- HIL simulation in Labview
 - Results show that sliding mode performs better
- Recommendations
 - Kalman Filter
 - Feedforward controller
 - Adaptive controller



Questions?



References

[1] DSC CONTROL. (2012). Retrieved March 30, 2015, from http://madstyle1972.com/MAZDA6_2014/servicehighlights/books/n6w04/html/id041500103900.html

[2] Burgess, M. Torque vectoring. Retrieved March 17, 2015, from http://www.vehicledynamicsinternational.com/downloads/VDI_Lotus_Vector.pdf

[3] NAGAI, M., HIRANO, Y., & YAMANAKA, S. (2007). Integrated Control of Active Rear Wheel Steering and Direct Yaw Moment Control. Retrieved March 17, 2015, from http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00423119708969336#.VRIpCpPF8WU

[4] Aircraft Pitch: State-Space Methods for Controller Design. (2012). Retrieved March 17, 2015, from http://ctms.engin.umich.edu/CTMS/index.php?example=AircraftPitch§ion=ControlStateSpace

[5] Slotine, J., & Li, W. (1990). *Applied Nonlinear Control Paperback*. Prentice Hall; 1 edition. Retrieved March 17, 2015, from ftp://222.18.54.49/xiaomagecc/Applied%20Nonliear%20control%20[Slotin%201991--Prentice%20Hall].pdf

[6] Thang Truong, D., Meywerk, M., & Tomaske, W. (2013). Torque Vectoring for Rear Axle using Adaptive Sliding Mode Control. Retrieved March 17, 2015, from

https://www.deepdyve.com/lp/institute-of-electrical-and-electronics-engineers/torque-vectoring-for-rear-axle-using-adaptive-slidingmode-control-4RQOOh9G9i